

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/692,152	10/22/2003	Hiroyuki Uno	P/2041-68	5875	
	7590 03/17/200 FABER GERB & SOE		EXAMINER KIM, WESLEY LEO		
1180 AVENUE	OF THE AMERICAS				
NEW YORK,	NY 100368403		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2617	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/17/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/692,152	UNO, HIROYUKI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
WESLEY L. KIM	2617	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 15 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF ADDEAD

140	TICL	<u> </u>	ΔI	1 1	<u> </u>
-					

The Notice of Appeal was filed on ____ . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

	AME	ND	иΕΝ	TS
--	-----	----	-----	----

3. In e proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below):
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
appeal, and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).
7. 🔲 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🔲 will not be entered, or b) 🗎 will be entered and an explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected:
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be
on torsed because the affidavit or other oxidence failed to express all rejections under appeal and/or appeal and or appeal appeal and or appeal and or appeal and or appeal appe

showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other:

/George Eng/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicants arguments are not persuasive.

- Applicant argues that the combination of Morgenthaler with Nealon would contradict the express teaching of Nealon and change the operation of Nealon. Specifically, Nealon indicates that only a number key 1-3 is considered to be a valid key press, in which case a happy tone is sounded at the headset (Fig.3; element 311) and any other key press would result in a sad tone (Fig.3; elements 318 and 319) and there appears to be no capacity in Nealon for updating key allocation information.

The examiner respectfully disagrees. Nealon teaches number key 1-3 is considered to be a valid key press, in which case a happy tone is sounded at the headset (Fig.3; element 311) and any other key press would result in a sad tone (Fig.3; elements 318 and 319). Morgenthaler teaches that it is a well known concept in the art that key allocation information is updated after a change of hierarchy of the menu, the change of the hierarchy of the menu, the change of the hierarchy of the menu, the change of the hierarchy of the menu occurring after the discrimination that the one of any one of said keys (Fig.4; elements 410-422 and Col6; lines 33-52).

It is clear that the two references can be combined such that Nealons teaching of actuating a happylead tone according to the select key would be followed by a well known concept, as taughty by Morgenthaler, that a hierarchy of the menu may charge to update key allocation information (See Fig.4; elements 410-426) where key allocation information updating does not mean that key allocation information must necessarily be changed.